# Projections of Calendar Year Individual Returns by Major Processing Categories

# Spring 2004 Update





Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

www.irs.gov

Office of Research Research, Analysis and Statistics

### **Overview of Tables and Methodology**

There are 7 tables presented in the attached projections. The information contained in each table is summarized below.

- 1A-B. Table 1A is the first table normally presented in IRS Document 6187. It contains the U.S. level projections through calendar year 2007 for most of the processing categories forecasted. This includes breakout by form type; full-paid vs. other-than-full-paid; e-file by type (on-line filed, Telefile, etc.); refunds; e-file by refund vs. balance due; and a few other sorts. Table 1B contains U.S. level projections by business operating divisions through the year 2007, by paper and e-file.
- 2A-D. Table 2A presents total individual paper returns (the sum of paper Forms 1040, 1040A, and 1040EZ) at the U.S. and IRS processing centers through 2005. Tables 2B-D present the U.S. and IRS processing center level projections by form types (Forms 1040, 1040A and 1040EZ) through 2005. Please note that the center alignment for paper returns for 2006 and beyond has not been finalized.
- 3A-D. Tables 3A-D present full-paid volume of paper returns at the U.S. and processing center locations. These volumes are shown at the total level and by form types (Forms 1040, 1040A and 1040EZ) for 2003 through 2005. For all of the years presented, the alignment of states to processing centers continues to change for filers in certain states, as a result of modernization. Please note that the center alignment for paper returns for 2006 and beyond has not been finalized.
- 4A-D. Tables 4A-D present other-than-full-paid volume of paper returns at the U.S. and processing center locations. These volumes are shown at the total level and by form types (Forms 1040, 1040A and 1040EZ) for 2003 through 2005. For all of the years presented, the alignment of states to processing centers continues to change for filers in certain states, as a result of modernization. Please note that the center alignment for paper returns for 2006 and beyond has not been finalized.
- 5. The table presents U.S. level paper and electronic refund volumes for 2003 through 2005. In addition, center level volumes of paper refund returns are presented.
- 6A-E. Tables 6A-E presents the electronic returns projections for 2003 through 2011. These include total electronically filed returns, standard electronically filed returns (the sum of On-Line filed and Practitioner filed), On-Line filed, Practitioner filed, and Telefile returns. The projections are presented at the U.S. and processing center levels. Please note that the standard electronically filed returns with International addresses are processed at the Philadelphia IRS Center.
- 7. The table presents electronic volumes at the U.S. and center level by form type (Forms 1040, 1040A, 1040EZ) through 2011. Please note that the standard electronically filed returns with International addresses are processed at the Philadelphia IRS Center.

Also note that because of rounding and the myriad of computations involved in the production of these tables, any particular figure in one table that is repeated in another table may differ by a few hundred.

#### Methodology

Combinations of various forecasting methods were used to develop the litany of projections presented in the attached tables. However, only an overall summary of these approaches is provided below. Customers seeking a more detailed explanation of the projection methodologies are welcome to contact members of my individual returns projection team, which includes Andre Palmer (202)874-0588 and Michelle Chu (202)874-0593.

In general, these broadly defined forecasting approaches were used.

- In most instances, 2004 filing season results through end of April were used to develop full calendar year (CY) estimates for all the various components.
   These CY 2004 full year estimates were then included as part of the "base period" for most models—models that start by trending historical experience.
- Regression models based largely on total employment were used to set the
  total Form 1040 series (defined as the sum of paper Forms 1040, 1040A,
  1040EZ, standard electronically filed returns, including practitioner and on-line
  filed returns) at the U.S. level. Similar analysis was used to project refund
  volumes and paper full-paid by form type.
- Trend extrapolation models, such as time trends and moving average models, were used to set the state level forecasts such as those by form type, for refunds, and by full-paid versus other-than-full-paid.
- The e-file forecasts were also derived from trend extrapolation methods.
  However, the actual forecasted values typically reflected corresponding e-file
  participation rates (rather than their nominal values). The extrapolation
  methods were also built according to the "S" curve, innovation diffusion model
  that is indicative of the growth pattern for new products. An important future
  trend that will continue to impact e-file forecasts includes additional states
  passing mandates to e-file state returns.

#### State to Center Alignments

The alignment of states to their processing center differs for returns filed on paper, "standard" e-file returns submitted by practitioners and on-line, and returns filed through the TeleFile program. As a result of modernization decisions and the movement toward fewer processing centers for individual returns, the alignment of states to centers for paper returns differs from year to year for all of the published periods. In addition, decisions on the center alignments for paper returns for 2006 and beyond has not been finalized. For reference purposes, we have included the following tables that present varying alignments for paper returns.

# Configuration of IRS Centers for Paper Individual Returns—2003 Alignment

Andover IRS Center Fresno IRS Center Maine Alaska Massachusetts Arizona California Michigan Hawaii New Hampshire Part of New York Idaho (former Albany and Buffalo Districts) Nevada Rhode Island

Oregon Vermont Washington

Atlanta IRS Center Kansas City IRS Center Florida

Illinois Georgia Indiana Mississippi Iowa North Carolina Kansas South Carolina Minnesota West Virginia Missouri Nebraska

Austin IRS Center North Dakota Colorado South Dakota Kentucky Utah

Louisiana Wisconsin Montana New Mexico Memphis IRS Center

Alabama Texas Arkansas Wyoming Ohio

Tennessee Brookhaven IRS Center Virginia Part of New York

Oklahoma

(former Brooklyn and Manhattan Philadelphia IRS Center Districts)

Connecticut Delaware International Maryland New Jersey Pennsylvania

# Configuration of IRS Centers for Paper Individual Returns—2004 Alignment

Andover IRS Center

New Hampshire New York Maine

Massachusetts Vermont

Atlanta IRS Center

Alabama
Florida
Georgia
Mississippi
North Carolina
Rhode Island
South Carolina
West Virginia

Austin IRS Center

Arkansas Colorado Kentucky Louisiana New Mexico Oklahoma

Tennessee Texas

Fresno IRS Center

Alaska Arizona California Hawaii Idaho Montana

Nevada Oregon Utah

Washington Wyoming

Kansas City IRS Center

Delaware
Illinois
Indiana
Iowa
Kansas
Michigan
Minnesota
Missouri
Nebraska
North Dakota
South Dakota
Wisconsin

Memphis IRS Center

Ohio Virginia

Philadelphia IRS Center

Connecticut International Maryland New Jersey Pennsylvania

# Configuration of IRS Centers for Paper Individual Returns—2005 Alignment

Andover IRS Center

Vermont

Maine Massachusetts New Hampshire New York Nevada Oregon Utah Virginia Washington Wyoming

Montana

Atlanta IRS Center

Alabama
Florida
Georgia
Mississippi
North Carolina
Rhode Island
South Carolina
West Virginia

Kansas City IRS Center
Connecticut
Delaware
Illinois
Indiana
Iowa
Kansas
Michigan
Minnesota
Missouri

Nebraska

North Dakota

South Dakota

Austin IRS Center Arkansas

Colorado Kentucky Louisiana New Mexico

Oklahoma Tennessee

Texas

Wisconsin

Memphis IRS Center

Ohio

Fresno IRS Center

Alaska Arizona California Hawaii Idaho Philadelphia IRS Center

International Maryland New Jersey Pennsylvania